

Shropshire Council

Local Plan Review 2016 - 2036

**Preferred Strategic Sites**  
**Sustainability Appraisal**  
**Summary**

July 2019

## Contents

<b>1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2. SA methodology.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3. SA summary tables .....</b>	<b>2</b>
Table 3.1 SA summary for housing.....	2
Table 3.2 SA summary for employment.....	3
<b>4. Likely significant effects.....</b>	<b>4</b>
Table 4.1 SA ratings (housing) for sites subject to consultation .....	4
Table 4.2 SA ratings (employment) for sites subject to consultation .....	4

## 1. Introduction

- 1.1. Sustainability Appraisal (SA) is a systematic process that must be carried out during the preparation of a Local Plan. Its role is to promote sustainable development by assessing the extent to which the emerging plan, when judged against reasonable alternatives, will help to achieve relevant environmental, economic and social objectives.
- 1.2. This document shows the SA summary tables produced as part of the SA for the Shropshire Local Plan Review Preferred Strategic Sites process. All sites in Shropshire that are individually larger than 25ha are considered as potential strategic sites.
- 1.3. The SA of all potential strategic sites in Shropshire was integral to the proposal to allocate three strategic sites and to consult on the allocation of a fourth (Junction 3 of the M54). The full SA, including the excel spreadsheets on which the summary tables are based, can be found in the Local Plan Review Preferred Strategic Sites SA Report, available on Shropshire Council's website.
- 1.4. The preferred allocations are shown in bold with a **grey highlight** in the summary tables below. Site P26 amended (Junction 3 of the M54) is shown as **bold** to indicate that although it is subject to consultation, it is not preferred at this stage of the Local Plan Review (LPR).

## 2. SA methodology

- 2.1. The preferred strategic sites SA covers all sites in Shropshire that are individually larger than 25ha .It evaluates them for both housing and employment uses. The sites were assessed as a group to match the way the LPR site assessment process was carried out. The majority of the information used for the SA was derived from the Strategic Land Availability Assessment (SLAA). Large sites that were promoted after the SLAA was published in 2018 were assessed in the same way as those sites included in the 2018 document.
- 2.2. Each potential strategic site was given a SA numerical rating based on its performance against the SA criteria. This was converted into a Good/Fair/Poor rating. The latter rating was used in the Local Plan Site Assessment process to inform the choice of sites for allocation and consultation.

## 3. SA summary tables

**Table 3.1 SA summary for housing**

Summary for Housing	
ALB018	Good
BAY003	Good
<b>BNT002</b>	<b>Fair</b>
BRD011	Good
<b>IRN001</b>	<b>Poor</b>
LUD004	Fair
LUD041	Good
MDR042 Amended	Good
MDR046	Good
MOR012	Good

Summary for Housing	
OSW060	Good
P10	Fair
P16	Fair
P17a	Fair
P17b	Fair
P26	Poor
<b>P26 amended</b>	<b>Poor</b>
P28	Fair
<b>P28 &amp; parts of P30 &amp; P40</b>	<b>Fair</b>

Summary for Housing	
P29	Poor
P30	Good
P35	Good
P36b	Good
P40	Fair
P53b	Fair
P54	Fair
P56	Fair
P59	Good
P61	Poor
P63	Poor
RED006	Fair
RUY020	Good
SHF017	Good
SHF018c	Good
SHF024	Fair
SHF034	Good
SHF035	Good
SHH002	Fair
SHR057	Good
SHR058	Fair
SHR105	Good
SHR109	Fair
SHR157	Fair
SHR157 Amended	Fair
SHR158	Fair
SHR166	Good
SHR174	Good
SHR176	Good
SHR181	Good
SHR190	Good
SHR192	Fair
SHR196	Good
SHR197	Fair
SHR198	Fair
SHR218	Good
STC004	Good
WAH006	Good
WIC010	Fair

Summary for Employment	
<b>BNT002</b>	<b>Fair</b>
BRD011	Good
<b>IRN001</b>	<b>Poor</b>
LUD004	Fair
LUD041	Good
MDR042 amended	Good
MDR046	Fair
MOR012	Good
OSW060	Good
P10	Fair
P16	Fair
P17a	Fair
P17b	Fair
P26	Poor
<b>P26 Amended</b>	<b>Poor</b>
P28	Fair
<b>P28 &amp; parts of P30 &amp; P40</b>	<b>Fair</b>
P29	Poor
P30	Good
P35	Good
P36b	Good
P40	Fair
P53b	Fair
P54	Fair
P56	Fair
P59	Good
P61	Poor
P63	Poor
RED006	Fair
RUY020	Good
SHF017	Good
SHF018c	Good
SHF024	Fair
SHF034	Good
SHF035	Good
SHH002	Fair
SHR057	Good
SHR058	Fair
SHR105	Good
SHR109	Fair
SHR157	Fair
SHR158	Fair
SHR157 Amended	Fair

**Table 3.2 SA summary for employment**

Summary for Employment	
ALB018	Good
BAY003	Good

Summary for Employment	
SHR166	Good
SHR174	Good
SHR176	Good
SHR181	Good
SHR190	Good
SHR192	Fair
SHR196	Good

Summary for Employment	
SHR197	Fair
SHR198	Fair
SHR218	Good
STC004	Good
WAH006	Good
WIC010	Fair

#### 4. Likely significant effects.

- 4.1 The final two tables in this summary report show the SA ratings for those sites which are being consulted on in the Preferred Strategic Sites document. Guidance on preparing SA states that mitigation measures should be considered for sites with likely adverse significant effects. Sites rated as Poor are considered likely to have such effects. As the former Ironbridge Power Station is proposed for allocation for housing and employment uses and has a Poor rating for both, mitigation measures will be needed should the site be developed. These measures are set out in the Local Plan Review Preferred Strategic Sites SA Report. Mitigation measures for Junction 3 of the M54 (which also has a Poor rating) are set out for information, in the same report.

**Table 4.1 SA ratings (housing) for sites subject to consultation**

<b>BNT002</b> (Tern Hill Barracks)	<b>Fair</b>
<b>IRN001</b> (former Ironbridge Power Station)	<b>Poor</b>
<b>P26 amended</b> (Junction 3 of the M54)	<b>Poor</b>
<b>P28 &amp; parts of P30 &amp; P40</b> (RAF Cosford)	<b>Fair</b>

**Table 4.2 SA ratings (employment) for sites subject to consultation**

<b>BNT002</b> (Tern Hill Barracks)	<b>Fair</b>
<b>IRN001</b> (former Ironbridge Power Station)	<b>Poor</b>
<b>P26 amended</b> (Junction 3 of the M54)	<b>Poor</b>
<b>P28 &amp; parts of P30 &amp; P40</b> (RAF Cosford)	<b>Fair</b>